

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,846		04/12/2000	Jeremy Rosenberg	14688-504	6270
6449	7590	08/21/2003			
		6, ERNST & MAI	EXAMINER		
1425 K STI SUITE 800	,		CHANG, SABRINA A		
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3625	
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s) ROSENBERG, JEREMY			
		09/547,846				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sabrina Chang	3625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	lune 2003 .				
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3)	· -					
Dispositi	on of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11,	400 0.0. 210.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	() ()			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No			
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bullee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language proacknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment	2(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/547,846

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Paper 12, filed August 13, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-18 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Decinque, U.S. Patent No. 6,286,139.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action - as discussed in Interview Summary (Paper 13) and Request for Reconsideration (Paper 11) - is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decinque U.S. Patent No. 6,286, 139.

Decinque discloses a system and method for ordering video content through the Internet. Vendors advertise their video products and services through the Internet (broadcasting messages that contain information/clips of a product) [Col 1, Line 13]. Allowing the end-user to purchase the product immediately, by clicking on the advertisement, creates an impulse purchase potential (responding to the broadcast message using a first transmission medium, i.e. the Internet) [Col 1,

application control iv

Art Unit: 3625

Line 46]. The end-user's order travels from their client-side interface, via an Internet server to a data processing center (fulfillment center) [Col 2, Line 19]. The Internet server comprises a database that stores customer data, including a passcode or telephone number [Col 4, Line 53]. The end-user is required to input a passcode, which is matched to that stored in the database, to determine if they are in fact allowed to purchase a product (determining whether customer is permitted to purchase the item) [Col 5, Line 7]. If the end-user is verified, the video content is delivered to them via cable lines (second transmission medium is different from the first transmission medium, different address is associated with customer) [Col 5, Line 26]. The customer is billed for the content (payment identifier associated with the customer) [Col 5, Line 26].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The articles "Global Media...", "Platinum Entertainment...", "Thinking Globally...", "Tune into...", "Yahoo!", "WebRadio.com...", "Blue Note Radio" disclose the proliferation of Internet radio stations. Consumers can listen to a station and purchase the songs that like. These articles do not disclose however the delivery of such media over another communications network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabrina Chang whose telephone number is 703 305 4879. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Mon.- Fri..

Application/Control Number: 09/547,846

Art Unit: 3625

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703 308 1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 7687 for regular communications and 703 305 7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1113.

SC

August 8, 2003

Jeffrey A. Smith